



#### Physics of Information

Igor Neri - July 17, 2018

NiPS Summer School 2018 Energy aware transprecision computing

### Limits to computation

- Minimum size of computing device
- Maximum computational speed of a self-contained system
- Information storage in a finite volume
- Energy consumption limit to:
  - computation
  - memory preservation

### Minimum size of computing device

#### Transistor count

Processor +	Transistor count +	Date of introduction	Designer •	Process +	Area +
TMS 1000	8,000	1974 <sup>[3]</sup>	Texas Instruments	8,000 nm	11 mm²
Intel 4004	2,300	1971	Intel	10,000 nm	12 mm <sup>2</sup>
Intel 8008	3,500	1972	Intel	10,000 nm	14 mm <sup>2</sup>
MOS Technology 6502	3,510 <sup>[4]</sup>	1975	MOS Technology	8,000 nm	21 mm²
Motorola 8800	4,100	1974	Motorola	6,000 nm	16 mm²
Intel 8080	4,500	1974	Intel	6,000 nm	20 mm²
RCA 1802	5,000	1974	RCA	5,000 nm	27 mm²
Intel 8085	6,500	1976	Intel	3,000 nm	20 mm²

#### . . .

61-core Xeon Phi	5,000,000,000 <sup>(38)</sup>	2012	Intel	22 nm	720 mm²
Xbox One main SoC	5,000,000,000	2013	Microsoft/AMD	28 nm	363 mm²
18-core Xeon Haswell-E5	5,560,000,000 <sup>[39]</sup>	2014	Intel	22 nm	661 mm²
IBM z14	6,100,000,000	2017	IBM	14 nm	896 mm²
Xbox One X (Project Scorpio) main SoC	7,000,000,000 <sup>[40]</sup>	2017	Microsoft/AMD	16 nm	3 <b>60</b> mm² <sup>[40]</sup>
IBM z13 Storage Controller	7,100,000,000	2015	IBM	22 nm	678 mm²
22-core Xeon Broadwell-E5	7,200,000,000 <sup>[41]</sup>	2016	Intel	14 nm	456 mm²
POWER9	8,000,000,000	2017	IBM	14 nm	695 mm²
72-core Xeon Phi	8,000,000,000	2016	Intel	14 nm	883 mm²
IBM z14 Storage Controller	9,700,000,000	2017	IBM	14 nm	89 <b>6</b> mm²
32-core SPARC M7	10,000,000,000 <sup>[42]</sup>	2015	Oracle	20 nm	
Centriq 2400	18,000,000,000 <sup>[43]</sup>	2017	Qualcomm	10 nm	398 mm <sup>2</sup>
32-core AMD Epyc	19,200,000,000	2017	AMD	14 nm	768 mm <sup>2</sup> (4 x 192 mm <sup>2</sup> )

#### Moore's law



Microprocessor Transistor Counts 1971-2011 & Moore's Law

#### Transistor size



In terms of size [of transistors] you can see that we're **approaching the size of atoms** which is a fundamental barrier, but it'll be two or three generations before we get that far - but that's as far out as we've ever been able to see. We have another 10 to 20 years before we reach a fundamental limit. By then they'll be able to make bigger chips and have transistor budgets in the billions. - G. Moore Energy limits speed of computation

### Energy limits speed of computation

 What limits the laws of physics place on the speed of computation?

### Energy limits speed of computation

Heisenberg uncertainty principle

## $\Delta E \Delta t \geq \hbar/2$

wrong interpretation: it takes time  $\Delta t$  to measure energy to an accuracy  $\Delta E$ 

**right interpretation**: a quantum state with spread in energy  $\Delta E$  takes time at least

$$\Delta t = \pi \hbar / 2 \Delta E$$

to evolve to an orthogonal (and hence distinguishable) state

Ultimate physical limits to computation - Seth Lloyd

# Maximum computational speed of a self-contained system

- **Bremermann's Limit** is the maximum computational speed of a self-contained system in the material universe. It is derived from Einstein's mass-energy equivalency and the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, and is  $c^2/h \approx 1.36 \times 10^{50}$  bits per second per kilogram
- The **Margolus–Levitin theorem** gives a fundamental limit on quantum computation (strictly speaking on all forms on computation). The processing rate cannot be higher than  $6 \times 10^{33}$  operations per second per joule of energy

#### Maximum computational speed of a laptop



#### Maximum computational speed of a laptop

- If the mass is **m** then  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{mc}^2$
- $m = 1 \text{ Kg}, E = 1 (3 \ 10^8)^2 = \text{approx} = 10^{17} \text{ J}$
- $\Delta t = approx = 10^{-34} / 10^{17} = 10^{-51} s$

### Comparison with existing computers

- Conventional laptops operate much more slowly than the ultimate laptop
- Two reasons for this inefficiency:
  - most of the energy is locked up in the mass of the particles of which the computer is constructed
  - a conventional computer employs many degrees of freedom for registering a single bit

## Memory space limits

#### Memory space limits

- The amount of information that a physical system can store and process is related to the number of distinct physical states accessible to the system
- A collection of M two-state systems has 2<sup>M</sup> accessible states and can register M bits of information
- A system with N accessible states can register log<sub>2</sub>N bits of information

#### Memory space limits

- The number of accessible state, W, of a physical system is related to its thermodynamic entropy by the formula:
  S = k<sub>B</sub> logW
- The amount of information that can be registered by a physical system is I = S(E)/k<sub>B</sub> log 2
  - S(E) is the thermodynamic entropy of a system with expectation value for the energy E

#### Information storage in a finite volume

 The Bekenstein bound limits the amount of information that can be contained within a given finite region of space which has a finite amount of energy:

$$S \le \frac{2\pi kRE}{\hbar c}$$

$$I \le \frac{2\pi cRm}{\hbar\ln 2} \approx 2.577 \times 10^{43} mR$$

Ultimate physical limits to computation - Seth Lloyd

#### Information storage in a finite volume

• Human brain

$$I \le \frac{2\pi cRm}{\hbar \ln 2} \approx 2.577 \times 10^{43} mR$$

- mass m=1.5 kg
- volume of 1260 cm<sup>3</sup>
- approximating volume to a sphere R = 6.7 cm
- $I = 2.6 \times 10^{42}$  bits
- O = 2<sup>I</sup> states of the human brain must be less than  $\approx 10^{7.8 \times 10^{41}}$

### Comparison with existing computers

- The amount of information that can be stored by the ultimate laptop  $\approx 10^{31}$  bits
- Conventional laptops can store  $\approx 10^{12}$  bits
- This is because conventional laptops use many degrees of freedom to store a bit where the ultimate laptop uses just one
- There are considerable advantages to using many degrees of freedom to store information, stability and controllability being perhaps the most important

Ultimate physical limits to computation - Seth Lloyd

#### Minimum energy consumption for computation



Landauer R. IBM Journal Of Research And Development, Vol. 5, no. 3, 1961

#### Maxwell's demon



#### Maxwell's demon

Q

Cyclic process converts heat completely into work!

## Violates second law of thermodynamics!

No process is possible whose sole result is the absorption of heat from a reservoir and the conversion of this heat into work.

to work

pressure mus weight

#### Maxwell's demon





Landauer R. IBM Journal Of Research And Development, Vol. 5, no. 3, 1961

What happens when computation is logically irreversible?

- Minimum amount of energy required greater than zero
- Let assume the operation of bit reset
- # of initial states: 2
- # of final states: 1



#### Landauer principle

- Initial condition: two possible states  $S = k_B \log W$   $Q \leq T \Delta S$
- Final condition: one possible state

$$S_i = k_B \log 2$$

$$S_f = k_B \log 1$$
  
$$\Delta S = S_f - S_i = -k_B \log 2$$

Heat produced

$$Q \le T\Delta S = -k_B T \log 2$$



#### Landauer principle at room temperature

$$Q \le T\Delta S = -k_B T \log 2 \sim 10^{-21} J$$



Figure 3: Energy per logic operation

After Electronics Beyond Nano-scale CMOS, Shekhar Borkar





Even if you're not burning books, destroying information generates heat. - Sergio Cicliberto

Generic two-state memory:

• initial configuration: two states with equal probability 1/2

system can store 1 bit of information

Shannon entropy:  $S_i = -\sum_n p_n \ln p_n = \ln 2$ 

final configuration: one state with probability 1

system can store 0 bit of information

Shannon entropy:  $S_f = -\sum_n p_n \ln p_n = 0$ 

#### → original bit has been deleted: $\Delta S = -\ln 2$





Second law of thermodynamics (for system and reservoir):

$$\Delta S = \Delta S_{sys} + \Delta S_{res} \geq 0$$

Reservoir always in equilibrium:

Equivalence between entropies:

$$Q_{res} = T \Delta S_{res} \geq -T \Delta S_{sys}$$

$$\Delta S_{sys} = k\Delta S = -k \ln 2$$

Heat produced in reservoir:

 $Q_{res} \ge kT \ln 2$ 

connection between information theory and thermodynamics

 $(Q_{res} = kT \ln 2 \text{ in quasistatic limit i.e. long cycle duration})$ 

Experimental results:

We measure work W and deduce heat  $Q = -\Delta U + W = W$ 



Landauer can be bound approached but not exceeded

Note:  $kT \ln 2 \simeq 3 \times 10^{-21} J$  at room temperature

#### Reset on colloidal particles



Total energy landscape

$$E(x,t) = U(x,t) - F_0 f(t)x$$
$$U(x,t) = -\frac{a}{2}g(t)x^2 + \frac{b}{4}x^4$$

 g(t) and f(t): dimensionless parameter in [0,1]. Their value at time t depends on a given protocol.



Chiuchiú, D. "Time-dependent study of bit reset." EPL (Europhysics Letters) 109.3 (2015): 30002.

Time-dependent study

For a fixed  $\tau_{pr}$  with  $Q(\tau_{pr}) \approx -T\Delta S(\tau_{pr})$ , study  $-T\Delta S(t)$ , Q(t), W(t),  $\Delta E(t)$ .



Chiuchiú, D. "Time-dependent study of bit reset." EPL (Europhysics Letters) 109.3 (2015): 30002.

PRL 113, 190601 (2014)

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 7 NOVEMBER 2014

#### Ş

#### High-Precision Test of Landauer's Principle in a Feedback Trap

Yonggun Jun,<sup>\*</sup> Momčilo Gavrilov, and John Bechhoefer<sup>†</sup> Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada (Received 15 August 2014; published 4 November 2014)

We confirm Landauer's 1961 hypothesis that reducing the number of possible macroscopic states in a system by a factor of 2 requires work of at least  $kT \ln 2$ . Our experiment uses a colloidal particle in a time-dependent, virtual potential created by a feedback trap to implement Landauer's erasure operation. In a control experiment, similar manipulations that do not reduce the number of system states can be done reversibly. Erasing information thus requires work. In individual cycles, the work to erase can be below the Landauer limit, consistent with the Jarzynski equality.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.190601

PACS numbers: 05.70.Ln, 03.67.-a, 05.20.-y, 05.90.+m
# Landauer principle experimental verification

#### Feedback Trap



Jun, Y., Gavrilov, M., & Bechhoefer, J. (2014). High-Precision Test of Landauer's Principle in a Feedback Trap. Physical Review Letters, 113(19), 190601.

# Landauer principle experimental verification

#### Erasure protocol



Jun, Y., Gavrilov, M., & Bechhoefer, J. (2014). High-Precision Test of Landauer's Principle in a Feedback Trap. Physical Review Letters, 113(19), 190601.

# Landauer principle experimental verification

#### Work series for individual cycles



Jun, Y., Gavrilov, M., & Bechhoefer, J. (2014). High-Precision Test of Landauer's Principle in a Feedback Trap. Physical Review Letters, 113(19), 190601.

# Beating the Landauer's limit by trading energy with uncertainty



Beating the Landauer's limit by trading energy with uncertainty - L. Gammaitoni - arXiv:1111.2937 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]

# Beating the Landauer's limit by trading energy with uncertainty



Beating the Landauer's limit by trading energy with uncertainty - L. Gammaitoni - arXiv:1111.2937 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci]









#### Solution: increase the temperature



Neri, Igor, and Miquel López-Suárez. "Heat production and error probability relation in Landauer reset at effective temperature." Scientific Reports 6 (2016).

#### Reset protocol



Neri, Igor, and Miquel López-Suárez. "Heat production and error probability relation in Landauer reset at effective temperature." Scientific Reports 6 (2016).

#### Landauer reset with error



Neri, Igor, and Miquel López-Suárez. "Heat production and error probability relation in Landauer reset at effective temperature." Scientific Reports 6 (2016).

## Logically irreversible devices



We shall call a device logically irreversible if the output of a device does not uniquely define the inputs. We believe that devices exhibiting logical irreversibility are essential to computing. Logical irreversibility, we believe, in turn implies physical irreversibility, and the latter is accompanied by dissipative effects.

Landauer R. IBM Journal Of Research And Development, Vol. 5, no. 3, 1961

### Information is Physical



Rolf Landauer, 1961. Whenever we use a logically irreversible gate we dissipate energy into the environment.

### Logically irreversible devices

Landauer has posed the question of whether logical irreversibility is an unavoidable feature of useful computers, arguing that it is, and has demonstrated the physical and philosophical importance of this question by showing that whenever a physical computer throws away information about its previous state it must generate a corresponding amount of entropy. Therefore, a computer must dissipate at least  $k_BT$  In2 of energy (about 3 X 10<sup>-21</sup> Joule at room temperature) for each bit of information it erases or otherwise throws away.



Bennett C. IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 525-532, 1973

## Solution = Reversibility

• Charles Bennett, 1973: There are no unavoidable energy consumption requirements per step in a computer.



• Energy dissipation of reversible circuit, under ideal physical circumstances, is zero.

- Landauer/Bennett: all operations required in computation could be performed in a reversible manner, thus dissipating no heat.
- The first condition for any deterministic device to be reversible is that its input and output be uniquely retrievable from each other, then it is called **logically reversible**.
- The second condition: a device can actually run backwards, then it is called **physically reversible**, and the second law of thermodynamics guarantees that it dissipates no heat.

- Model of a reversible mechanical computer based on Newtonian dynamics
- Proposed in 1982 by Edward Fredkin and Tommaso Toffoli
- It relies on the motion of spherical billiard balls in a friction-free environment made of buffers against which the balls bounce perfectly



#### Assume no friction, elastic collisions



Use "mirrors" to implement "switching device" This device is *reversible* because physics is

- Using balls and mirrors, we can implement basic logic gates: AND, OR, NOT
- With a big enough billiard table, we could (in theory) implement a complete computer using a combination of these gates
- BUT...
  - billiard balls don't work in practice

#### Thermal losses

- friction can't be ignored
- Collisions aren't perfectly elastic

#### Chaotic motion

- Balls are actually conglomerates of many atoms in various states of vibration
- Can't know their "initial state" perfectly
- Small variations in initial conditional conditions can cause exponentially large differences in final state

- The reasoning on connection between physical and logical reversibility applies only to systems that encode input and outputs on the system itself.
- If the input and output are not part of the computing system (like in transistor based logic gates) there is no connection between physical and logical reversibility.

### Back to the real world....



### Back to the real world....



### Back to the real world....





Vout

## The experimental setup





### The experimental setup





#### The experimental setup



# XOR gate





#### Full adder



# Minimum energy consumption for memory preservation

## The refresh procedure



# To evaluate the energy cost of the refresh procedure we need:

- A physical description of the memory
- A characterisation of  $P_0$  as function of refresh time  $t_R$
- A physical description of the refresh procedure
- A characterisation of total error probability  $P_E$  as function of refresh time  $t_R$  after a fixed time

#### Physical description of the memory



 $\mathcal{X}$ 

### Characterisation of P<sub>0</sub> as function of refresh time


#### Physical description of the refresh procedure



#### Characterisation of $P_E$ as function of refresh time



What is the fundamental cost for preserving a memory for a fixed time with a given probability of error?

#### Study of the energy cost of refresh procedure



Considering the harmonic approximation inside each well the refresh operation changes:

$$\sigma(t_R) = \sqrt{\sigma_w^2 + \exp\left(-\frac{t_R}{\tau_w}\right)\left(\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_w^2\right)} \text{ in } \sigma_i$$

# Minimum energy required to preserve a memory over a fixed time with a given error probability

$$Q_m = -NT\Delta S = \frac{\bar{t}}{t_R} k_B T \ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\sigma_w^2 + e^{-\frac{t_R}{\tau_w}}(\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_w^2)})}{\sigma_i}\right)$$

Minimum energy required to preserve a memory over a fixed time with a given error probability

 $P_E = 1 \times 10^{-6} P_E = 1 \times 10^{-4} P_E = 1 \times 10^{-2}$ 



Minimum energy required to preserve a memory over a fixed time with a given error probability

 $P_E = 1 \times 10^{-6} P_E = 1 \times 10^{-4} P_E = 1 \times 10^{-2}$ 



## Limits to computation

- Minimum size of computing device
- Maximum computational speed of a self-contained system
- Information storage in a finite volume
- Energy consumption limit to:
  - computation
  - memory preservation

## References

- · Lloyd, Seth. "Ultimate physical limits to computation." Nature 406.6799 (2000): 1047.
- Aharonov, Yakir, and David Bohm. "Time in the quantum theory and the uncertainty relation for time and energy." Physical Review 122.5 (1961): 1649.
- Landauer, Rolf. "Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process." IBM journal of research and development 5.3 (1961): 183-191.
- Toyabe, Shoichi, et al. "Experimental demonstration of information-to-energy conversion and validation of the generalized Jarzynski equality." Nature physics 6.12 (2010): 988.
- Bérut, Antoine, et al. "Experimental verification of Landauer's principle linking information and thermodynamics." Nature 483.7388 (2012): 187.
- Chiuchiú, D. "Time-dependent study of bit reset." EPL (Europhysics Letters) 109.3 (2015): 30002.
- Lopez-Suarez, Miquel, Igor Neri, and Luca Gammaitoni. "Sub-k B T micro-electromechanical irreversible logic gate." Nature communications 7 (2016): 12068.
- Neri, Igor, and Miquel López-Suárez. "Heat production and error probability relation in Landauer reset at effective temperature." Scientific reports 6 (2016): 34039.
- López-Suárez, M., et al. "Cost of remembering a bit of information." Physical Review A 97.5 (2018).

## Thank you for your attention!



### igor.neri@nipslab.org



